Two-State Graph-Rewriting Automata



Kohji Tomita*, Haruhisa Kurokawa*, Satoshi Murata**



* National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST)
 ** Tokyo Institute of Technology

Lattice-based symbol dynamics

- Cellular Automata Model
 - Self-Reproducing automata
 by von Neumann in 1950s



- State transition on lattice space
- Life Game, Lattice Gas Automata
- New Kind of Science by Wolfram
- Cell space cannot be generated
 - Infinite space or torus is assumed

Graph-rewriting automata

- Variant of graph-rewriting system
- graph development with state transition and structure rewriting
- Rules in a regular form (like CA)
- Not restricted on lattice space
- Define cellular automata on graphs
 - Standard state transition rules
 - Rewrite rules of graph structure
- Dynamic graph automata



Why dynamic graph?



Rich expressibility:

- Not restricted on lattice space
- Changing topology & number of nodes
- Connection between remote nodes
- Arbitrary many division of space
- Closed surface / boundary condition



Non-lattice model

Disadvantage:

- Information of connection relation
 3-link planar graphs
- Less simple

3-link planar graphs (& regular rules)

- Visualization

embed in 3D space



Related study

- Evolution of Networks (NKS Ch. 9, Wolfram 2002)
- Graph grammar based systems
 - Some systems in Artificial Chemistry
 - (e.g., Benkö et al. 2003)
 - Programmable Parts (Klavins et al. 2005)
 - DynaGraph (Saidani et al. 2004)



Contents

- Definition of graph-rewriting automata
- Examples
- Two-State graph-rewriting automata
- Alternative formulations
- Conclusions

Definition of Graph-Rewriting Automata



Basic structure

- 3-link planar graph
 - Minimum to generate nontrivial structures
 - Link order at each node
- Node state:
 - from arbitrary finite set
- Examples:











Graph-rewriting automata

Structural rewriting (without states)



Graph-rewriting automata







Update procedure

- Given: initial graph, rule set (list of rules)
 Deterministic update
 - Synchronous rule application
 - node rules (trans, div) at even time
 - link rules (com, anh) at odd time
 - Lateral inhibition
 - suppress neighbor link rule activation





Example2: self-replication of 4-node structure

Initial state: 4-node (different states) 19 rules (6 states):

com(2, 3)div 0 (1, 3, 3) 0 div 1 (0, 2, 2) 1 div 3 (0, 0, 2) 4 div 2 (1, 1, 3) 2 trans 0 (0, 0, 1) 1 trans 0 (1, 1, 1) trans 1 (1, 1, 0) 0 trans 4 (4, 4, 2) 2 trans 2 (2, 2, 4) 4 trans 4 (4, 0, 2) 3

trans 4 (4, 2, 0) trans 0 (0, 1, 4) trans 2 (4, 4, 4) trans 4 (2, 2, 2)

- trans 1 (0, 0, 0)
- trans 2 (2, 1, 4)
- trans 1 (1, 2, 0)
- anh (5, 5)
- 2 5 5 5 5 0 3





Two-State Graph-rewriting Automata





Exhaustive trial of two-state rules

- Internal state {0, 1}
- Development processes from simple initial structures



Execution until 80 steps or 1,000 nodes



Notation of rule-set

- $[0..3]^8 [0..2]^3 = 1,769,472$
- 11 digits (8 for node rules + 3 for link rules)

0: s ()	0	0: nop
1: s ()	1	1: com
2: d ()	0	2: anh
3: d ()	1	

example: 01223110 210

s 0,(0,0,0)	0	d 1,(0,0,0)	1	a (0,0)
s 0,(0,0,1)	1	s 1,(0,0,1)	1	c (0,1)
d 0,(0,1,1)	0	s 1,(0,1,1)	1	
d 0,(1,1,1)	0	s 1,(1,1,1)	0	



Possible local configurations





🗖 div halt

Ioop □ exp cont

Results – separated cases



Nodes limit – simple case





Node limit – other cases



















01000232 010 S1

(without annihilation)





03210010 102 S1



steps





Using Many StatesRule set design



Turing machine

Logical model of computation

Modeled by ladder structure in GA



Self-replicating Turing machine

Expression of self-replicating TM

- 20 states, 257 rules (2-symbols)





- Universal Turing machine
 - Minsky's ``small'' UTM (4-symbols 7-states)
 - 30 states, 955 rules (for reproducing) +
 - 23 states, 745 rules (for computation)

Simulation of synchronous graphrewriting automata by asynchronous updating model

- Arbitrary rules are applied at arbitrary time
- By explicitly introducing local synchronization by different states

(like simulating SCA by ACA)

 Execution of structural change can be detected by neighbors

Alternative Formulations



(1) Non-planar, many states(2) Dual GA



New link rule `swap' Node rules rule x, (a, b, c) y C b b div trans

(1) Non-planar graphs

С

Link rules rule (x,y)



von Neumann style self-replication

Self-replication with translation/transcription
 of encoded program in structure
 Using construction arm (requires many states)





Assign states to cells link node cell



(2) Dual graph automata



Ex. Self-reproduction

Initial graph: 2-state 3-cell Rules (10) a 1,3,3,1,2,0 (a 1,3,3,1,0,2) a 3,2,3,4,4,0 a 4,1,3,4,3,0 (a 4,1,3,3,4,0) (g 3, 0, 2, 4)g 3,2,0,4 c 1,1,2,0 c 2,3,4,4 f 0,2,4,4, 0



Conclusions & Future Work





Conclusions

- Graph-rewriting automata
 - System that generates its boundary condition by itself
 - Not restricted to lattice space
 - Changing topology & number of nodes
- Programmability using many states
- Various development processes
 - with 2 states
 - Self-replication
- Some variants (non-planar, dual)

Future work in two-state case

In preliminary stage. More analysis

- Class III, IV behavior ?
- Localized structure ?
- Minimal rules ?
- Universality
 - computational, construction
- Large scale graphs
- Visualization
- Simpler 1-D case with 2-links ?



General case

Function other than self-replication



- Extensions:
 - Asynchronous, continuous, ...
 - External environment, interaction among groups